

Subject: Basildon

Date: Mon, 27 Sep 2004 10:04:52 +0100

From: donald kenrick <donald.kenrick@ntlworld.com>

To: "ustiben.5" <ustiben.5@ntlworld.com>

I have written to get the facts. This could be disastrous if the two year period runs out AFTER May 2005.

BTW As I told you Mr Robb is back at Paynes Lane - the Council have refused to look at his new planning application because of the two year rule (and kept the £220)

(The same applies to the Moores in Wealden - two year rule!) - they are being taken to the magistrates' court.)

The other Mr Robb is back at Nukies Farm. I have done a new planning application with some evidence on the flooding. We will see if the Council accepts the application.

61 Blenheim Crescent
London W11 2EG

TEL: 0207 727 2916

FAX: 0207 229 4387

Email: donald.kenrick@ntlworld.com

September 27, 2004

Basildon Council

Dear Sirs

Re Crays Hill

I am trying to track down details of the second round of enforcement notices and the second enforcement appeal on land at Crays Hill.

You will recall that - following the original appeal decision - you issued a number of new enforcement notices for technical reasons. These all had a date in May 2005 for compliance.

I was advised to ignore these notices and have not kept them on file.

However, Mr Weeks lodged an appeal which was lost. What I need to know in preparation for the January Enquiry and various current and future planning applications is

1. What areas of the site these new enforcement notices covered. Did they cover all four areas (Dale Farm, Kamila Drive, Swallows Court and Beauty Drive ?)

2. What was the date of the second enforcement appeal decision and the APP number of the decision?

Thanking you in advance for your assistance.

Yours truly

Donald Kenrick
Planning agent

Wednesday, September 29, 2004

Basildon - more

Page

Subject: Basildon - more

Date: Mon, 27 Sep 2004 08:48:42 +0100

From: donald kenrick <donald.kenrick@ntlworld.com>

To: "ustiben.5" <ustiben.5@ntlworld.com>

POSTSCRIPT

I have just realised another complication.

After the decision from the 1st Secretary in May 2003 the Council issued new enforcement notices for technical reasons on some of the sites with a date for compliance of May 2005.

For some reason Mr Weeks decided to appeal and collected £300 from many of the Travellers. He did the appeal on his own. The appeal was lost.

The point is that there is therefore a new decision letter AFTER May 2003 and we would need to count two years from then – I will find out when and how many plots it covers. This would mean that the families in theory have to leave the site in May before we could put in new planning applications later in the year!

Otherwise if 50 families all pay the fee and the Council refuses to consider the applications because of the two year rule (and does not return the fees) that is £10,000 (ten thousand pounds lost).

One possibility is to ask the Council in advance if they will accept the application – the other is to try them with one and see what happens.